

CITY CLERK/ **HUMAN RESOURCES DIRECTOR Betsy Conrad**

October 5, 1999

Mr. John Y. Brown, III Secretary of State The Capitol, Room 152 Frankfort, KY 40601

In Re: City of Florence, KY annexation Ordinances from July 1980 - July 1999

Dear Sir:

Attached is a list of ordinances annexing properties to the City of Florence. The ordinances listed include all such annexations since 1980.

KRS 81A.470 and KRS 81A.475 (copy attached) require the City to forward copies of these ordinances and their accompanying exhibits to the offices of the County Clerk, the Department of Local Government and the Secretary of State.

The County Clerk's office indicated that she was missing certified copies of some of the earlier ordinances. I have mailed her certified copies of these missing ordinance. If you are missing any of the ordinances on the list, I would be glad to forward you copies if vou will indicate which ones are needed.

We appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Betsy R./Conrad

BRC:emb

advised Betry we need all of Page 1 & part of Page 2

ANNEXATIONS TO THE CITY OF FLORENCE JULY 1980 - JULY 1999

UPDATE 7/23/99

Nothing in 1986 O-27-87 O-34-87 O-35-87 O-36-87 O-37-87 O-42-87 O-5-88 O-25-88 O-31-88 O-11-89 O-11-89 O-11-89 O-14-89 O-25-89 O-25-89	Nothing in 1984 O-8-85 O-31-85	O-42-80 O-25-81 O-50-81 O-1-82 O-2-82 O-5-82 O-13-82 O-25-82 O-43-82 O-43-82 O-4-83 O-39-83	ORDINANCE NO
TRP Associates Foote (Florence Nature Park) American Sign & Marketing B&J Development (Zayre) Jehovah's Witnesses, Inc. Toyota Motor Distributors Harms Fuller Square Baird Towne Land Co. (Old KY Raceway site) Berling Pond Realty Comins Riegler Verona Bank	Fuller Square Corp. (5th/3rd Bank Bldg.) D.S.B. Partnership (KY National Bank)	Judd Berkshire Erpenbeck Mary Ellen Foltz Charles Seligman & Ruth Doering Kirby Marshall (Berling) Mollis Baron Clift Boone Co. Peewee Football Conrad, Steve Safi Liggett Erpenbeck	PROPERTY OWNER(S)
s.w. side Turfway Road n.e. side of Banklick Street on Industrial Road off Mall Road east of Hopeful Road Hopeful Road & KY 18 Dilcrest Subdivision Houston Road northside of U.S. 42 west of I-75 & n.e. of U.S. 42 Connector Rd. Stonegate Meadows Sub. 8151 Burlington Pike U.S. 42, northside 8494 Pleasant Valley U.S. 42 & Weaver Road	at Houston Rd. & KY 18 U.S. 25 and Industrial Road	on Hopeful road I-75, U.S. 42 & Mall Road on north side of U.S. 42 along Pleasant Valley Road along Houston Road along KY 18 & Houston Road Evergreen Farms Sub. (Evergreen Drive) on Hopeful Rd.(Colonial Hgts. Retirement Center) along I-75, Turfway Rd. & Curtis Ave. on Mallard Dr. lot 37 Shamrock Subdivision, 7 Mary's Court LaCresta Drive, Shamrock Subdivision, one lot on south side of U.S. 42 at Gunpowder Rd,	DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY
69.57 8.5 19.8 1.028 1.557 3.82 9.71 3.83 7.07 119.51 36.1956 3 38.5 8.875 0.9393	3.2379	13.547 134.006 240 appx. 100 appx. 85 45.4 16 28.335	ACREAGE

70-3-88-6-07	VC-30-90	./∩_3n_08	VO-17-98	√O-2-98	√0-21-97	√O-20-97	√O-30-96	√O-16-96	√O-7-96	√ 0-5-96	·∕ O-3-96	;/O-2-96	√O-17-95	√O-23-94	/O-20-94	√ 0-33-93	√O-32-93	√ O-19-93	√O-32-92	0-8-92	0-2-92	0-50-91	0-46-91	0-43-91	0-42-91	0-33-91	0-32-91	0-31-91	0-30-91	0-32-90	O-30-89
Dull/Clist	Cayton Developillent EEO (passea 1/12/09)	Cayton Development II C (passed 1/12/99)	Luebbers - Butler	ATAPCO	Rolfsen	Decastro Trust	ESA, Inc. (Florence Church of Christ)	Klensch (Fantasy Frontier)	Decastro	Pleasant Valley Acres (19 homeowners)	Grammas	Haines	Durr (Primary Care Associates)	Florence United Methodist	Deglow	Turfway Park Racing Assn., Inc.	Waco Oil Co., Inc.	Chelsea Moore	St. Luke Hospital (actually passed 1/12/93)	Turfway Park Development	Schneider	Crouch	KDOT	TRP Associates	Schwartz	Conrad, Don	Butler	Arlinghaus	Kahmann	Towne Land Co.	Dr. Estes lot on Pleasant Valley Rd.
Illelsection of Merchants & Dankers Star On No. 19	Intersection of Merchants & Bankers Sts /Off KV 18	Honeful Rd Honeful Church Rd & Cayton Rd	Utterback Branch Road	Industrial Road	Pleasant Valley	Hopeful Road	eastside of Turfway Road	off U. S. 42	s.e. side of Hopeful Rd. @ KY 18	South of Pleasant Valley Road	U.S. 42/Weaver Road/Evergreen Road	U.S. 42 & Pleasant Valley	north side of U. S. 42	N.E. side of Old Toll Rd & Pleasant Valley Rd.	KY 18 & Greenview	northeasternly side of Turfway Road	at Mt. Zion Rd. & new Biltmore Blvd.	southwesterly of Connector Drive	n.w. side of Houston Rd.	Intersection Turfway & Houston Roads	Valley View Dr.	8519 U. S. 42	rest area	Turfway & Houston Roads	U.S. 42	Ridge & KY 18	Valley View Dr.	Cayton Road	Valley View Dr.	Weaver Road	Boone Valley Estates, Sec. VI, Lot 37
UT.201	34 257	23.32	1.15	27.498	10	1.6192	2.583	7.87	4.62	47.1	3.97	13.6	2.274	15	1.06	11.6	6.97	20.4142	21	113.858	30.9	3.4	41.2	7.56	10	4.6	1.8	39.07	12.4	1.44	12,000 sq.ft.

0-24-99 Carpert Trula

the question of annexation or striking off be again submitted within that period.

HISTORY: 1992 c 17, § 3, eff. 2-28-92 1984 c 416, § 17; 1980 c 303, § 7

NOTES OF DECISIONS AND OPINIONS

- 1. Court judgment
- 2. Procedural issues
- 3. Territory to be annexed

1. Court judgment

316 SW(2d) 210 (Ky 1958), City of Louisville v City of St. Matthews. Where circuit court judgment was reversed with directions to enter judgment authorizing the city of Louisville to annex certain territory of the business district of St. Matthews, Louisville was entitled to annex the territory notwithstanding that the voters of St. Matthews rejected the proposal for Louisville to extend its boundaries and municipal services.

2. Procedural issues

OAG 84-41. City can strike territory from its boundaries by submitting the question to the voters of the territory at the November election. If this measure fails, the city cannot resubmit the question for five years. If this measure is approved, the city can at any time re-annex the territory by following the procedure for annexing territory generally.

3. Territory to be annexed

OAG 81-412. The rejection of an annexation ordinance bars resubmission for annexing any smaller portions of the territory.

OAG 81-412. Land which is elevated by a highway is not contiguous unless both parcels are contiguous to the city.

81A.470 Map and certified copy of ordinance of annexed or severed area to be recorded; taxation of residents or property in new territory by annexing city prohibited prior to compliance

- (1) If the limits of a city are enlarged or reduced, the city shall, within sixty (60) days of the enlargement or reduction, cause an accurate map of the annexed, transferred, or severed area with a metes and bounds description, together with a copy of the ordinance duly certified, to be recorded in the office of the county clerk of the county or counties in which the city is located, in the office of the Secretary of State, and in the Department of Local Government.
- (2) No city which has annexed unincorporated or accepted transfer of incorporated territory may levy any tax upon the residents or property within the annexed or transferred area until the city has complied with the provisions of subsection (1) of this section, and of KRS 81A.475.

HISTORY: 1992 c 17, § 4, c 33, § 1, eff. 7-14-92-1984 c 416, § 11; 1980 c 303, § 8

Legislative Research Commission Note (7-14-92): This section was amended by two 1992 Acts which do not appear to be in conflict and have been compiled together. [1992 c 17, § 4, eff. 2-28-92.]

LIBRARY REFERENCES

Procedure for annexation of territory. 56 Am Jur 2d, Municipal Corporations, Counties, and Other Political Subdivisions § 70 to 72

NOTES OF DECISIONS AND OPINIONS

- 1. Recording
- 2. Description

1. Recording

OAG 84-363. Until the reporting requirements of KRS 81A.470(2) and KRS 81A.475 have been complied with, a city may not levy any tax, including its occupational tax, in an annexed area.

2. Description

OAG 83-188. City ordinance describing territory to be annexed substantially complies with metes and bounds requirement. Requirements of KRS 81A.470 and KRS 81A.475 are to be complied with after the territory has actually been annexed.

81A.475 Map and list of residents in area to be annexed to be filed with county clerk

If any city annexes any unincorporated area, accepts the transfer of incorporated territory, or reduces the boundaries of the city, it shall be the duty of the legislative body of the city to provide within sixty (60) days, to the county clerk of the county in which the city is located, a map clearly delineating the boundaries of the area affected along with a list of the names and addresses of those property owners and registered voters who reside in the area.

HISTORY: 1992 c 17, § 5, eff. 2-28-92 1984 c 416, § 12; 1980 c 155, § 1

LIBRARY REFERENCES

Procedure for annexation of territory. 56 Am Jur 2d, Municipal Corporations, Counties, and Other Political Subdivisions § 70 to 72

NOTES OF DECISIONS AND OPINIONS

1. Reporting requirements

1. Reporting requirements

OAG 84-363. Until the reporting requirements of KRS 81A.470(2) and KRS 81A.475 have been complied with, a city may not levy any tax, including its occupational tax, in an annexed area.

OAG 83-188. City ordinance describing territory to be annexed substantially complies with metes and bounds requirement. Requirements of KRS 81A.470 and KRS 81A.475 are to be complied with after the territory has actually been annexed.

81A.480 Application of provisions of KRS 81A.050 to 81A.070 and KRS 81A.400 to 81A.470

The provisions of KRS 81A.050 to 81A.070 and KRS 81A.400 to 81A.470 shall apply in any annexation proceeding in which the annexing city has not adopted a final annexation ordinance by July 15, 1980.

HISTORY: 1980 c 303, § 10, eff. 7-15-80

NOTES OF DECISIONS AND OPINIONS

1. Constitutional issues

1. Constitutional issues

635 SW(2d) 307 (Ky 1982), Louisville Shopping Center, Inc. v City of St. Matthews. Statute which allows minimum of seventy-five per cent of the voters in an affected area to nullify the actions of a city legislative body through the process of referendum does not violate the Kentucky Constitution since the statute does not grant power over the liberty and property of citizens.

635 SW(2d) 307 (Ky 1982), Louisville Shopping Center, Inc. v City of St. Matthews. Because the appellants as remonstrants under the old statute did not have vested rights, but only a legislative privilege, retroactive application of statute to a pending annexation suit did not terminate or impair the judicial rights of the remonstrants.